



Invasion of Western Europe by the Quagga mussel Dreissena rostriformis bugensis

Phylogeography, population genetics and potential risk assessment



University of Namur Faculty of Sciences Department of Biology URBE – LEGE (Pr. K. Van Doninck)

MARESCAUX Jonathan PhD Student April 2, 2014

Waterways are conducive to biological invasions



• Zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha)





3

• <u>Quagga mussel</u> (Dreissena rostriformis bugensis)





4

Zebra VS Quagga



US Geological Survey



1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10



RFLP on the COI gene using endonuclease NlaIII

> Marescaux and Van Doninck (2014)

• <u>Hypothesis</u>

What were the colonisation pathways of the Quagga mussel in Western Europe?



Marescaux et al. (in prep)

• <u>Hypothesis</u>



<u>Material and Methods</u>



The largest dataset complied to date !

The sampled localities cover the invasion range in both the Old ...

Material and Methods



... and New World !

- Material and Methods
 - Sampling: 32 populations
 - COI mitochondrial gene screened on 573 individuals
 - 10 microsatellite loci tested on 599 individuals
 - Dbug 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 (Wilson et al. 1999)
 - Dbu 74, 75, 92, 93 and 110 (Feldheim et al. 2011)

Results - COI sequencing



D.r. bugensis populations sampled across Europe and North America and haplogroup distribution map of the *COI* mitochondrial gene (Q1 to Q7). The black circles correspond to meta-populations and the black star indicates the native area.

- <u>Results Microsatellite analysis</u>
 - 390 alleles from 10 loci
 - High genetic diversity
 - Low divergence between populations

High genetic dynamism

<u>Results - Genetic relatedness</u>



Genetic clusters determined by a Bayesian STRUCTURE analysis on microsatellite data for quagga mussel populations. Each individual is represented by a vertical bar displaying membership coefficient to each genetic population. Analysis discerned 2 genetic clusters (K=2).

<u>Results - Genetic relatedness</u>



Factorial Correspondence Analysis on microsatellite data of the Quagga mussel. Western Europe, Central Europe, Eastern Europe, Eastern North America,

Western North America

• <u>Results - Microsatellite analysis</u>



Results – Scenario testing (DIY-ABC)



Results and conclusion



Results and conclusion



• <u>Hypothesis</u>

What are the impacts of invasive bivalves on the native fauna of European rivers?



• <u>a) Phytoplankton decline in the Meuse River</u>



Related to densities and filtration rates

• <u>a) Phytoplankton decline in the Meuse River</u>

• Measurements of densities



- <u>a) Phytoplankton decline in the Meuse River</u>
 - Measurements of filtration rates in laboratory facilities









4 weeks (Q, Z, R and S)



Triplicates + control 8 individuals per palourdarium



- <u>a) Phytoplankton decline in the Meuse River</u>
 - Preliminary results
 - Mean filtration rate at 5, 15 and 20°C

Mean filtration rate	<u>Z</u>	Q	<u>C</u>
ml/ind.h	80	170	200
l/gC.d	135	50	40

Based on observed densities, measured filtration rates and characteristics of the Meuse River, we estimate that 99% of the water column is filtered over 100 km !

• <u>b) Native bivalves decline in the Meuse River</u>

Station	$\mathbf{N}_{ ext{tot native mussels}}$		N _{inf native mussels}	N _{Dreissena}
Amay	Unio pictorum	26	5	1 - 4
	Unio crassus	0	NA	NA
	Pseudanodonta	2	2	5 - 7
	Anodonta	0	NA	NA
Dave	Unio pictorum	142	80	1 - 28
	Unio crassus	0	NA	NA
	Pseudanodonta	6	5	1 - 37
	Anodonta	0	NA	NA
Godinne	Unio pictorum	101	22	1 - 11
	Unio crassus	0	NA	NA
	Pseudanodonta	1	1	3
	Anodonta	2	0	0
Dinant	Unio pictorum	11	4	1 - 4
	Unio crassus	6	5	1 - 6
	Pseudanodonta	0	NA	NA
	Anodonta	0	NA	NA
Anseremme	Unio pictorum	24	5	1 - 4
	Unio crassus	3	2	1 - 3
	Pseudanodonta	0	NA	NA
	Anodonta	0	NA	NA
Hastière	Unio pictorum	212	60	1 - 11
	Unio crassus	54	44	1 - 31
	Pseudanodonta	3	2	2 - 5
	Anodonta	0	NA	NA
	Total	593	237 (±40%)	





Conclusion



Brussels, 9.9.2013 SWD(2013) 322 final

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF THE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Accompanying the document

Proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council

on the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species

[COM(2013) 620 final] [SWD(2013) 321 final] [SWD(2013) 323 final] <u>I. Prevention</u>
→ High gene flow
→ Lack of effective prevention strategies along invasion corridors



- II. Early warning and rapid response
- → Remained undetected during at least 10 years
- \rightarrow Effective detection tools



III. Management

- → Well known impacts
- → Dreissena carinata and Dreissena blanci



Acknowledgments

- Prof. Karine Van Doninck
 - Supervisor
- A Bij de Vaate, JN Beisel, JP Descy and LM Pigneur
 - Thesis committee
- E Etoundi, E Falisse, F Geay, J Virgo, J Lorquet and A Latli
 - Help in sampling and experiments
- A Bij de Vaate, T Wilke, JN Beisel, L Giamberrini, O Popa, T Nalepa, C Van Overdijk, K Holeck, RF McMahon, A Watters and B Parvis
 - Samples from Europe and North America
- Special thanks to J Lorquet for his valuable technical help

This study received financial support from the University of Namur, the European Fisheries Fund (FEP), the Walloon Region and from the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS).