Harmonia⁺ a risk-screening procedure for alien species

Bram D'hondt ¹, S. Vanderhoeven ¹, S. Roelandt ², F. Mayer ³, V. Versteirt ⁴, E. Ducheyne ⁴, G. San Martin ⁵, J.-C. Grégoire ³, I. Stiers ⁶, S. Quoilin ⁷, E. Branquart ⁸

Belgian Biodiversity Platform, 2 Veterinary and Agrochemical Research Centre,
 Université Libre de Bruxelles, 4 Avia-GIS, 5 Walloon Agricultural Research
 Centre, 6 Vrije Universiteit Brussel, 7 Belgian Scientific Institute for Public Health,
 8 Service Public de Wallonie

Contents

- Introduction
- Realization
- Harmonia⁺
- Test round
- Conclusion

Introduction

- risks of alien organisms : invasive species, pests, emerging diseases...
- the earlier, the more (cost-)effective
- Before prevention or early eradication of these species can take place, it is essential to first identify and prioritize those species that pose the highest risks.

(rapid) risk-screening procedures

Background

- Branquart (2007) : Invasive Species
 Environmental Impact Assessment
- Succesful, but some drawbacks
 - invasion incompletely covered
 - impacts incompletely covered
 - the role of pathogens
- Alien Alert project
 - 2012-11 to 2014-03
 - 8 Belgian institutions
 - http://ias.biodiversity.be/harmoniaplus





Harmonia⁺ and Pandora⁽⁺⁾



http://ias.biodiversity.be/harmoniaplus

Realization



Harmonia⁺



Harmonia⁺ and Pandora⁺ : first-line screening tools for potentially invasive organisms β version

B. D'hondt, S. Vanderhoeven, S. Roelandt, F. Mayer, V. Versteirt, E. Ducheyne, G. San Martin, J.-C. Grégoire, I. Stiers, S. Quoilin and E. Branquart

Avials 🗿 🥑 🏒

D'hondt B, Vanderhoeven S, Roelandt S, Mayer F, Versteirt V, Ducheyne E, San Martin G, Grégoire J-C, Stiers I, Quoilin S, Branquart E. 2014. *Harmonia*⁺ and *Pandora*⁺ : first-line screening tools for potentially invasive organisms - beta version. Belgian Biodiversity Platform, Brussels, 57 pp.

Harmonia⁺ : structure

• Harmonia⁺ ...

- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- ... is based on a rigid framework for risk

a framework for invasion risk analysis

- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- ... can be used by expert panels

a tool for identification and prioritization



... basically is a questionnaire

- .. is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- ... can be used by **expert panel**s





... basically is a questionnaire

- .. is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines quantitative and qualitative output
- ... can be used by expert panel

CONTEXT All Provide the name(s) of the assessor(s) :	
10. Descride the same of the second and under second states to	
A2 - Provide the name of the organism under assessment :	
A3 - Define the area under assessment :	
5. This assessment is considering potential impacts within the following domains : [the environmental domain [the cultivated plant domain] the domasticated animal domain [then domain] the interview (the domain] and the domain] and the domasticated animal domain] the three provides the domain] and [
•	
INTRODUCTION A6. The probability for <i>The Organism</i> to be introduced into <i>The Area's</i> wild by natural means is [low medium high].	
A7 - The probability for The Organism to be introduced into The Area's wild by unintentional human actions is [low medium high].	
AS - The probability for The Organism to be introduced into The Area's wild by intentional human actions is [low medium high].	
ESTABLISHMENT	
ESTABLISHMENT AS - The Area provides [non-optimal] sub-optimal] optimal] climate for establishment of The Organism.	
A10 - The Area provides [non-optimal] sub-optimal] optimal] habitat for establishment of The Organism.	
SPREAD	
A11 - The Organism's capacity to disperse within The Area by natural means is [very low low medium high very high].	
A12 The Organism's frequency of dispersal within The Area by human actions is [low medium high].	
IMPACTS: environmental targets	
A13 - The Organism has a(n) [inapplicable low medium high] effect on native species. through predation, parasitism or herbivory.	
A14 - The Organism has a [low medium high] effect on native species, through competition.	
A15 - The Organism has a(n) [no / very low low medium high very high] effect on native species. through interbreading .	
A16 - The Organism has a [very low low medium high very high] effect on native species, by hosting pathogens or parasites that are harmful to them.	
A17 - πhe Organism has a [low medium high] effect on ecosystem integrity, by affecting its abiotic properties.	
A10 - The Organism has a low medium high] effect on ecosystem integrity, by affecting its biotic properties .	

- extensive *guidance* (cf. cut-offs)
- examples

- (3 to 5) predefined answers
- level of *confidence*
- textual *comments*

^t The Organism has a(n) [○ no / very low ○ low ○ medium ○ high ○ very high] effect on native species, through **interbreeding**.

Acert11. Answer provided with a [O low O medium O high] level of confidence.

Comments :

More info:

Indicate whether *The Organism* can locally affect native species through genetic effects, such as hybridisation or introgression (the production of fertile hybrids that backcross with their parents to form hybrid swarms).

Assume that *The Organism* becomes widespread within *The Area*. Then, estimate the likelihood (frequency) for *The Organism* to show interbreeding within the time span of a year, and the consequence of this happening.

Likelihood – Ideally corresponds to the following probabilities. **Low** :]0-33% probability (≈ expected to occur less than once every 3 years). **Medium** : 33-66% (once every 1.5 to 3 years). **High** : 66-100% (more than once every 1.5 years).

Consequence – Low : at worst, The Organism causes limited losses of genetic integrity in species that are not of conservation concern. Medium : at worst, The Organism causes severe losses of genetic integrity in species that are not of conservation concern, or limited losses of genetic integrity in species that are of conservation concern. High : at worst, The Organism causes severe losses of genetic integrity in species that are of conservation concern.



If the likelihood to interbreed is nil, choose No as an answer.

Examples

- The North American beaver (Castor canadensis) and Eurasian beaver (Caster fiber) are not genetically compatible and cannot interbreed to create a hybrid subspecies (likelihood = nil). – VERY LOW
- Canada geese (Branta canadensis) may hybridise with other geese (likelihood = medium), but there are few native breeding geese in Western Europe, and most reported incidences have been with other feral species (consequence = low <u>GB non-native species secretariat</u> [risk analysis]). – LOW



- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- .. is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- .. can be used by **expert panel**s





Based on : Blackburn et al. (2011) A proposed unified framework for biological invasions. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 26 (7): 333-339.



- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- .. is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- .. can be used by **expert panel**s





- the *environmental domain* refers to *wild animals and plants, habitats and ecosystems*
- the *plant domain* refers to *cultivated plants*
- the animal domain refers to domesticated animals
- the human domain refer to humans
- the other domain refers to, e.g., infrastructure



- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- . can be used by expert panels

RISK = exposure x likelihood x consequence

Based on : Kinney & Wiruth (1976) Practical risk analysis for safety management. *NWC report*, California.





- ... basically is a **questionnaire**
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **invasion**
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- \ldots combines qualitative and quantitative $\ensuremath{\textbf{output}}$
- . can be used by **expert panels**
- Qualitative output
 - *answers* to questions
 - comments to answers
 - >> onset of a **detailed risk analysis** ("PRA")
- Quantitative output
 - answers to questions are converted to scores
 - statistics on these scores
 - >> ranking for **prioritization**



- ... basically is a **questionnaire**
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- \ldots combines qualitative and quantitative $\ensuremath{\textbf{output}}$
- . can be used by **expert panels**

Quantitative output

- scores
 - per module
 - aggregated scores
 - global score
- weighting
 - questions
 - modules
- methods of calculation
 - conceptually reasonable
 - simple



- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **invasion**
- ... is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
 - . can be used by **expert panels**

$\mathbf{Q} =$ Question, $\mathbf{A} =$ Answer, $\mathbf{W} =$ Weight





- ... basically is a questionnaire
- ... is based on a rigid framework for invasion
- .. is based on a rigid framework for **risk**
- ... combines qualitative and quantitative output
- ... can be used by **expert panels**
- use however you like...
- but, process envisioned :



End



Round of testing



N. Borel

Water primrose (Ludwigia grandiflora)



American bullfrog

(Lithobates

catesbeianus)



J.C. Schou

Raccoon dog (Nyctereutes procyonoides)



wikipedia

Louisiana crayfish (Procambarus clarkii)



V. Onishchenko

Sacred ibis (Threskiornis aethiopica)

- 5 species
- 3 experts per species
- but incomplete process



Round of testing

INTRODUCTION x ESTABLISHMENT x SPREAD WEIGHTS equal, METHODS default, AVERAGE values +/- STDEV



Round of testing

ENVIRONMENTAL impacts

WEIGHTS equal, METHODS default, AVERAGE values +/- STDEV



Incomplete process...



Survey



- anonymous, online survey (SurveyMonkey)
- clear, complete, consistent and useful
- medium novelty



Use

- pdf form : http://ias.biodiversity.be/harmoniaplus
- online form : http://home.bebif.be/aa/3baba7

	Harmonia ⁺ A risk-screening procedure for alien species
TRY	present a series of questions concerning an organism, the answers of which need to be provided by one or more assessors.
	Harmonia+ is described in full here http://ias.biodiversity.be/harmoniaplus. Additional information is described by D'hondt et al. (manuscript). If you wish to access Pandora or Pandora+ for the risk analysis of pathogens or diseases, click here context introduction establishment spread environmental impact plant impact animal impact (human impact) other impact Section A0 - Context *
	Questions from this module identify the assessor and the biological, geographical & social context of the assessment. A1. Provide the name(s) of the assessors: *
	Additional comments:
	→ A2. Provide the name of the organism under assessment:

Conclusion

• Harmonia⁺ ...

- ... is a (rapid) risk-screening procedure for plants and animals
- ... is realized through an inter-disciplinary collaboration
- ... is considered **complete**, **clear** and **consistent**
- ... can be used in **multi-expert** assessments
- ... thus allows to prioritize species for measures of prevention and early eradication

• ... is **out** there !

Acknowledgements

- <u>Workshop experts</u>: Tim Adriaens, Sarah Brunel, Gordon Copp, Guillaume Fried, Lisbeth Harm Nielsen, Marc Kenis, Wiebe Lammers, Martine Maes, Isabelle Mandon, Laurence Marrama, Xavier Mestdagh, Niall Moore, Helen Roy, Tony Sainsbury, Diederik Strubbe, Paul Tavernier, Tom van der Have, Marjan Van Esbroeck, Alfons Van Gompel, Johan van Valkenburg, Laura Verbrugge
- <u>Test assessors :</u> Carles Aranda, Isabelle Behaeghel, Nick Berkvens, Pieter Boets, Celia Boone, Marieta Braks, Roger Cammaerts, Thibaut Delsinne, Luc Denys, Gerald Louette, An Martel, Estelle Meroc, Jean-Yves Paquet, Frank Pasmans, Yvon Perrin, Henri Robert, Vinciane Schockert, Yves Van der Stede, Valerie Vandenberghe, Sarah Welby, Wim Wesemael
- <u>Organization</u>: Angélique Berhault, Aline van der Werf, Belgian Biodiversity Platform team